Biostatistics Collaborative Specialization Final Assessment Report \& Implementation Plan


## Executive Summary

The reviewer met with faculty, students, and administrators in June 2019. General student satisfaction with the Specialization was high, however some opportunities for improvement were noted.

## Significant Strengths of the Program

- The quality of research by participating faculty members was high.
- A key value of the Specialization is the enhancement of communication skills, to better enable communication between statisticians and health care professionals.


## Summary of the Reviewers' Key Recommendations and Department/Faculty Responses

The Specialization provides only one activity in common between the two home programs: the course Biostats 9510A. There appears to be no research collaboration between the two departments. Students and some faculty members identified the lack of a collaborative seminar series as a shortcoming. In short, the Specialization is primarily a vehicle to allow sharing of the course Biostats 9510A. The recommendations below are intended to provide the opportunity for genuine collaboration between programs, to provide an enriched training environment for students.

|  | Reviewers' Recommendation | Program/Faculty Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Recommendations requiring <br> implementation | Development of a <br> collaborative seminar series | Already doing this - but only <br> biannually? |
|  | Explore internship | Will continue to foster |


|  | opportunities | opportunities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Provide opportunities for <br> student interaction between <br> departments | Seminar series and CANSSI <br> Biostatistics Collaboration <br> Centre |
|  | Clarify enrolment cap. | There is no cap |
|  | Collect data on <br> postgraduation employment | We have these data |
| 2. Supplementary <br> recommendations for <br> program improvement |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Implementation Plan

The Implementation Plan provides a summary of the recommendations that require action and/or follow-up. The Graduate Program Chair and/or Department Chair/Director, in consultation with SGPS and the Dean of the Faculty will be responsible for enacting and monitoring the actions noted in Implementation Plan. The details of progress made will be presented in the Deans' Annual Planning Document.

| Recommendation | Proposed Action and Follow-up |
| :--- | :---: |
| Discontinue the Specialization (Does not <br> meet the requirements for a Collaborate <br> Specialization) |  |

## Other Opportunities for Program Improvement and Enhancement

In addition to the recommendations noted above, the review process may have identified other considerations to enhance or enrich the program. List any such considerations.

